

MAR 14 2005

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of: Tarvin, Jeffery A. et al.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Group Art Unit: 2857

Serial No.: 10/711,918

Examiner:

Filed: October 13, 2004

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD TO  
INTERPRET DISTRIBUTED  
TEMPERATURE SENSOR DATA AND  
TO DETERMINE A FLOW RATE IN A  
WELL

Atty. Docket: 101.0166

Mail Stop Missing Parts  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION**  
37 C.F.R. 1.8I hereby certify that this correspondence was transmitted by facsimile to:  
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,  
at 703-872-9306 on the date below:March 14, 2005  
Date**PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Omitted Item(s) in a Nonprovisional Application mailed  
January 19, 2005, please amend the application as follows before examination:

## AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION

**[Para 18]** ~~Figs. 23-24 are flow charts representing an algorithm for optimizing gas lift performance of a well.~~

**[Para 87]** ~~Referring generally to Figure 23, the general methodology is illustrated in flow chart form.~~ Initially, a flow rate of the produced fluid is determined based on one or more wellbore parameters (~~see block 198~~). Subsequently, an analysis is performed as to whether the flow rate is in an optimal range (~~see block 200~~). In this embodiment, the analysis is performed automatically via, for example, processor 22. The optimal range can be determined in a variety of ways, including use of data from similar wells, use of historical data from the well being analyzed or by adjusting the gas injection rate and tracking whether the production fluid flow rate is increasing or decreasing. If the processor determines the flow rate is not optimized, an action is taken, e.g. changing the gas injection rate, to adjust the flow rate (~~see block 202~~). Following adjustment, the new fluid flow rate is again determined (~~see block 198~~) and the process is repeated.

**[Para 88]** As discussed above with reference to Figures 19-22, the flow rate of fluid produced through production tubing 16 can be obtained from temperatures measured along the wellbore. ~~As illustrated in Figure 24, the~~ The fluid flow rate is modeled via a specific well model/algorithm that relates temperature to the production fluid flow rate through tubing 16 (~~see block 204~~). After establishing the suitable model, temperatures are measured along the well (~~see block 206~~). A distributed temperature sensor, such as the distributed temperature sensor 20 discussed above, works well to obtain a temperature profile that can

automatically be provided to processor 22. The measured temperatures are applied to the well model (~~see block 208~~) which uses those measured temperatures to determine a fluid flow rate of the production fluid (~~see block 210~~). In this embodiment, however, the model/algorithm is expanded to automatically optimize that fluid flow rate.

[Para 89] Processor 22 can be used in a closed loop feedback system to facilitate this flow rate optimization by continually analyzing whether the flow rate is within a determined optimal range. Specifically, upon determining a fluid flow rate, the algorithm performs a first test 212 and checks to see if the fluid flow rate through the production tubing is too fast, e.g. above the optimal range. If the flow rate is too fast, processor 22 acts to decrease the fluid flow rate (~~see block 214~~) by, for example, decreasing the flow of injection gas. The process is then ~~returned to block 206 to~~ once again measures temperatures along the well for determining the new flow rate. If, however, the first test 212 does not detect a fluid flow that is too fast, a second test 216 checks to see if the flow rate is too slow. If the flow rate is too slow, processor 22 acts to increase the fluid flow rate (~~see block 218~~) by, for example, increasing the gas injected. The process is then ~~returned to block 206 to~~ again measures temperatures along the well for determining the new flow rate. When the second test 216 is performed and the fluid flow rate in the production tubing is not too slow, then the flow rate is in the optimal range and the process returns ~~is returned to block 206~~ for subsequent checking of the fluid flow rate. Thus, use of the algorithms discussed above can be automated to continually check and optimize the production fluid flow rate.


**REMARKS**

The specification has been amended to delete references to missing Figures 23 and 24.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to  
Deposit Account No. 50-2475.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 14, 2005

  
Wayne Kanak  
Reg. No. 35,564  
Attorney for the Applicants

Sensor Highway Limited  
Gamma House  
Enterprise Road  
Chilworth Science Park  
Southampton SO16 7NS  
United Kingdom

44 2380 765512  
44 2380 765505 (fax)